| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/551,085 | NEWBERRY ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | MIRANDA LE | 2159 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | <u> </u> |
| (1) <u>MIRANDA LE</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) JOEL FOGELSON, Reg. 43,613. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 14 January 2010 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: □ No | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-9, 15, 16 Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| | | |
| /Miranda Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2159 | Applicant∕Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend independent claim 1; and cancel claims 2, 4, 6-8 as detailed in the attached examiner's amendment to further clarify the claim invention of the current application and put these claims in condition for allowance.